

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		IG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/942,179 08/29/2001		29/2001	Robert J. Lechner	38190/202339	6992	
826	7590	06/04/2003				
ALSTON &			EXAMINER			
	TRYON ST	REET, SUITE 40	SOTOMAYOR, JOHN			
CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3714	10	
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/2003	Ψ	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)		-
		09/942,179		LECHNER, ROBERT	J.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		John L Sotomay	or	3714		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			orrespondence addre	ss	
Period fo	• •		<u> </u>			
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Issions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, howe within the statutory min will apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be tim imum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely, the mailing date of this commit (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.	
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 A	April 2003 .				
2a) <u></u>	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	is action is non-fi	nal.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowa			osecution as to the m	erite is	2
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under on of Claims	Ex parte Quayle,	1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		•
4)⊠	Claim(s) $1-54$ is/are pending in the application	ı .				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>32-54</u> is/are withdraw	n from considera	ition.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election require	ment.			
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 -	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)🖾 ¯	Γhe drawing(s) filed on <u>1/14/2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ a	ccepted or b) 🗌 ot	jected to by the E	xaminer.		
_	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			• •		
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on			ved by the Examiner.		
🗀 -	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	ion.			
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).		
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived in Application	on No		
* 0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		ge	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list		•			
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	-			olicatio	n).
)	• • •				
Attachment	c(s)					
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-15		
.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Rev		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 6		

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/942,179

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of Group I in Paper No. 5 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Hence, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL and claims 32-54 are withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-10, 12-14, 16-29 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tran (US 5,892,462).
- 4. Regarding claims 1 and 17, Tran discloses an apparatus and method with a mission profiler for automatically determining an area containing the mission route (Col 2, lines 46-49), a search engine to automatically search a plurality of electronic collections of terrain source data covering the mission route area (Col 2, lines 25-32), an image engine for processing terrain source data into predefined formats (Col 2, lines 32-37, and a terrain engine to automatically

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/942,179

Art Unit: 3714

compile processed data to create a terrain model for display during flight simulation (Col 3, lines 6-26).

- 5. Regarding claims 2 and 18, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the mission profiler comprises an input for receiving mission route data (Col 2, lines 64-67 and Col 3, lines 1-6).
- 6. Regarding claims 3 and 19, Tran discloses an apparatus and method for automatically dividing an area into a plurality of regions of the mission route and determining a respective resolution of the terrain source data for each region (Col 3, lines 6-26).
- 7. Regarding claims 4 and 20, Tran discloses an apparatus and method to determine the area and resolution of regions based upon the type of aircraft or simulator platform being flown on the mission (Col 2, lines 64-67, Col 3, lines 1-6 and Col 3, lines 56-65).
- 8. Regarding claims 5 and 21, Tran discloses an apparatus and method receiving data defining a plurality of types of points for terrain details to determine flight area and terrain resolution along a mission route (Col 3, lines 15-26).
- 9. Regarding claims 6 and 22, Tran discloses an apparatus and method receiving data defining a plurality of types of points for terrain details to determine flight area and terrain resolution along a mission route and wherein the input to the system is adapted to receive adjustments to the data to output terrain details based upon adjusted data (Col 2, lines 25-46).
- 10. Regarding claims 7 and 23, Tran discloses an apparatus and method with a memory device for storing terrain source data (Col 2, lines 32-40).
- 11. Regarding claims 8 and 24, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein a memory device stores data from prior mission routes (Col 5, lines 57-62).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,179 Page 4

Art Unit: 3714

12. Regarding claims 9 and 25, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the system compares collected terrain source data with data from prior mission routes to determine the terrain source data that is most acceptable for flight simulation of the mission route (Col 6, lines 25-41).

- 13. Regarding claims 10 and 26, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the system collects the most current terrain source data and uses this information to predict and display mission route data (Col 6, lines 15-67).
- 14. Regarding claims 12 and 27, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the image displayed is generated in a predefined format that is a corrected elevation model (Col 2, lines 28-34).
- 15. Regarding claims 13 and 28, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the data imported to the system contains geospecific properties for the area containing the mission route (Col 2, lines 15-37).
- 16. Regarding claims 14 and 29, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the data for creating the terrain model is based upon a combination of source data in the database and real-time processed data (Col 2, lines 25-46).
- 17. Regarding claims 16 and 31, Tran discloses an apparatus and method wherein the data received may be selected from a plurality of source data including elevational data and mission route data (Col 2, lines 32-46).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/942,179

Art Unit: 3714

18. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 20. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran in view of Wittenburg (US 4,985,854). Tran does not specifically disclose that the memory device for storing data comprises two memory devices for storing terrain source data and data representative of the terrain source data. However, Wittenburg teaches that a memory device for the generation of photo-realistic terrain imagery comprises first and second ortho-rectified data layers including digitized elevation data and photographic terrain data (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to produce a system comprising comprises two memory devices for storing terrain source data and data representative of the terrain source data. Combining the system disclosed by Tran with the teaching of Wittenburg produces an imagery source that is photorealistic and provides a view with greater visual accuracy for pilots.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,179 Page 6

Art Unit: 3714

Claims 15 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tran in view of Wysocki et al (US 5,381,338). Tran discloses the import of terrain data that includes information on structures that have been erected on the ground (Col 2, line 44). Tran does not specifically disclose importing data related to vegetation. However, Wysocki et al teaches that in cases where a mission route requires flying at very low altitude terrain information must include such features as the elevation of treetops and limbs (Col 4, lines 38-42). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to produce a terrain data importer with information related to vegetation and cultural features. Combining the system disclosed by Tran with the teaching of Wysocki et al produces a system with a larger performance envelope for pilots training on the system.

Conclusion

22. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Huffman et al (US 6,053,736) for a discussion of a mission training system.

Graf et al (US 4,654,459) for a discussion of the generation of flight imagery from a library of previously stored source data.

Margolin (US 6,023,278) for a discussion of digital mapping of terrain features.

23. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John L Sotomayor whose telephone number is 703-305-4558. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/942,179 Page 7

Art Unit: 3714

24. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on 703-308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-746-8361 for regular communications and 703-746-8361 for After Final communications.

25. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4558.

jls June 2, 2003

Joe H. Cheng Primary Examine